



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,758	07/21/2003	Inn-Sung Lee	YOM-0040 1734	
23413	7590 04/19/2006	EXAMINER		INER
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			PHAM, TAMMY T	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/626,758	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tammy Pham	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Fe	hruary 2006					
<u> </u>						
<i>,</i>	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
_						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
L	-/					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-6, 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1, 7-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin (US Patent No: 6,661,181 B2) in view of Jang (US Pub. No: 2001/0011980 A1).

As for claim 1, Shin teaches of an apparatus of driving a liquid crystal display comprising:

first and second lamp units (223a, b); a first transformer (T1) including a primary side and a secondary side having a first terminal connected to the first lamp unit (223a) and a second terminal;

a second transformer (T2) including a primary side and a secondary side having a first terminal connected to the second terminal of the secondary side of the first transformer (T1) and a second terminal connected to the second lamp (223b) unit in column 10, lines 42-48.

a driver converting a DC signal into an AC signal and supplying the AC signal to the primary sides of the first and the second transformers (T1, T2)

a sensor for sensing a voltage at a middle point between the second terminal of the secondary side of the transformer and the first terminal of the secondary side of the second transformer in column 6, lines 42-45.

Shin fails to teach of voltage sensor.

Jang teaches of a voltage sensor (24) for sensing a voltage in section [0022].

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the voltage sensor of Jang with the driving apparatus of Shin in order to prevent white screen error (see Jang: section [0003]).

As for claim 7, Shin teaches that the apparatus of claim 1, wherein each of the first and the second lamp units (223a, b) comprises a single lamp in Fig. 8. Two lamps are shown but since they are interconnected, they can be considered one entity as the claim limitations specifies,

As for claim 8, Shin teaches that the apparatus of claim 1, wherein each of the first and the second lamp units (223a, b) comprises a plurality of lamps connected in series in Fig. 8 where it is clear that the connection of the lamps are in series.

As for claim 10, Shin teaches that the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the primary sides of the first and the second transformers (T1, 2) are connected in parallel to the driver as shown in Fig. 9.

As for claim 11, Shin teaches of a liquid crystal display comprising:

a lighting unit including first and second lamps (223a,b), first and second transformers (T1, 2) respectively connected to the first and the second lamps (223a,b), including primary sides and secondary sides, and transmitting an AC signal for driving the first and the second lamps (223a,b) in column 10, lines 42-48; a driver supplying a signal to the primary sides of the first and the second transformers (T1,2)

a liquid crystal panel assembly having a liquid crystal for displaying images by adjusting transmittance of light generated from the lighting unit,

wherein the secondary sides of the first and second transformers are connected to each other to form a neutral point, and the lighting unit in column 6, lines 42-45.

Shin fails to teach of a voltage sensor for sensing a voltage of the neutral point.

Jang teaches of a voltage sensor (24) for sensing a voltage of the neutral point in section [0022].

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the voltage sensor of Jang with the driving apparatus of Shin in order to prevent white screen error (see Jang: section [0003]).

2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin (US Patent No: 6,661,181 B2) in view of Hsu (US Patent No: 6,812,921 B2).

As for claim 9, Shin fails to teach of first and second resistors connected to the first and the second lamp units, respectively, and commonly connected to a ground

Hsu teaches of first and second resistors connected to the first and the second lamp units, respectively, and commonly connected to a ground in Fig. 1b.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include first and second resistors connected to the first and the second lamp units, respectively, and commonly connected to a ground as taught by Hsu with the apparatus of Shin in order to create a power saving circuit and method for controlling driving circuit (see Hsu: column 1, lines 10-15).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/8/2006 in regards to claims 1, 7-11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the allegation that Shin fails to teach of a "second transformer including a primary and second side having a first terminal connected to the second terminal of the secondary side of the first transformer," please refer to Fig. 9.

In regards to the allegation that Jang fails to teach of a "sensing a voltage..." please refer to Fig. 3.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tammy Pham April 14, 2006